



SALE NO. 39 – HELD ON FEBRUARY 02, 2026.

**Offering: Leaf:Dust:**

(In pkgs)

37,416 (63,053)

8,683 (11,829)

**(Last year in brackets)**

**Quality:** Generally end season types.

**Demand:** Good demand.

**Buyers:** Blenders were active. Loose Tea Traders were rather selective.

**LEAF-CTC:**

**Brokens:** A small weight of well made, good liquoring types available, sold well at firm to little easier rates. Mediums were about steady. Plain and poor types met with selective demand and suffered fair withdrawals.

**Fannings:** A nominal weight of clean, good liquoring types offered, were readily absorbed at firm to little easier rates. Mediums met with a fair demand and sold at firm to Tk. 2/3 below last following quality. Plain types were easier with considerable withdrawals.

**Bought-Leaf Teas:** Met with fairly good demand at firm to little easier rates.

**Q U O T A T I O N S**

**CTC BROKENS CTC FANNINGS**

**(In Taka) (In US \$) (In Taka) (In US \$)**

Best	270 – 280	2.20 – 2.28	270 – 280	2.20 – 2.28
Good	260 – 265	2.12 – 2.16	262 – 267	2.13 – 2.17
Medium	250 – 255	2.04 – 2.08	252 – 258	2.05 – 2.10
Plain	245 – 247	1.99 – 2.01	245 – 247	1.99 – 2.01
B/L Teas	232 – 245	1.89 – 1.99	232 – 245	1.89 – 1.99
<b>US \$ 1= TK. 122.83</b>				

The above quotations represent the median range within which the teas have sold and do not indicate the highest and lowest prices.

**B/L:** Bought Leaf

TOP PRICE realised (relating to our catalogue only.)

<b><u>Mark.</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Brok.</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Brok. (Clonal)</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Fangs.</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Fangs. (Clonal)</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Dust</u></b>	<b><u>CTC Dust (Clonal)</u></b>
Mirzapore	Tk. 302		Tk. 299			
Ootterbagh & Ind.		Tk. 310		Tk. 303		Tk. 312
New Samanbagh					Tk. 262	

**Dust:** Met with fairly strong demand especially, the well made good liquoring types which generally held firm on last. Rest were generally little easier with some withdrawals.

**Comment:** A handful of well-made good-liquoring types offered attracted keen competition. Others met with fair demand. Poorer types were mostly neglected. Packeteers continue to be the major contenders.